arXiv:hep-th/9503229v1 1 Apr 1995

DFTT 18/95
hep-th/9503229

The $W_{1+\infty}$ effective theory of the Calogero-Sutherland model and Luttinger systems

Raffaele CARACCIOLO
I.N.F.N. and Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino,
Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy

Alberto LERDA
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Salerno,
I-84100 Salerno, Italy, and I.N.F.N., Sezione di Napoli

Guillermo R. ZEMBA
I.N.F.N. and Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino,
Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy

Abstract

We construct the effective field theory of the Calogero-Sutherland model in the thermodynamic limit of large number of particles N. It is given by a $W_{1+\infty}$ conformal field theory (with central charge $c = 1$) that describes *exactly* the spatial density fluctuations arising from the low-energy excitations about the Fermi surface. Our approach does not rely on the integrable character of the model, and indicates how to extend previous results to any order in powers of $1/N$. Moreover, the same effective theory can also be used to describe an entire universality class of (1+1)-dimensional fermionic systems beyond the Calogero-Sutherland model, that we identify with the class of *chiral Luttinger systems*. We also explain how a systematic bosonization procedure can be performed using the $W_{1+\infty}$ generators, and propose this algebraic approach to *classify* low-dimensional non-relativistic fermionic systems, given that all representations of $W_{1+\infty}$ are known. This approach has the appeal of being mathematically complete and physically intuitive, encoding the picture suggested by Luttinger's theorem.

March 1995

The Calogero-Sutherland model [1][2] has recently received considerable attention as a testing model for new ideas in low-dimensional systems significant for condensed matter and theoretical physics. Among the most exciting new ideas we find Haldane's fractional statistics in $(1+1)$-dimensional systems [3]. The model has been also used in connection with algebraic approaches based on $W_{1+\infty}$ algebras [4], applications to two-dimensional QCD [6], integrable spin-chains with long-range interactions [5], anyons in a magnetic field [7], quantum wires [8], the quantum Hall effect [9], string theory and matrix models [10] and possibly others.

In this letter we construct the low-energy *effective field theory* [11] of the model in the thermodynamic limit, in terms of the generators of the $W_{1+\infty}$ dynamical symmetry that describe the lowest energy ("gapless") spatial density fluctuations of the many-body states of the system. This construction is a straightforward application of a method successfully developed for the quantum Hall effect [12][13]. Indeed, writing the model in terms of the $W_{1+\infty}$ generators allows us to include the non-perturbative effects of the interaction by simply changing the representation of the symmetry algebra, a result implied by Luttinger's theorem [14]. The resulting effective conformal field theory [15] incorporates, therefore, the idea of the *bosonization* of the lowest energy *fluctuations of the Fermi surface* as the relevant semiclassical degrees of freedom [16]. Our results expand upon previous findings [17] and, moreover, show that the same theory describes general Luttinger systems as well.

Previous investigations in the Calogero-Sutherland model using conformal and $W_{1+\infty}$ methods [4] have been mostly concerned with the integrability aspects of the model encoded in the symmetry algebra. Here, however, we study the effective theory of the dynamics of the Fermi surface, giving up integrability in exchange for a formulation that applies to a wider universality class of theories beyond the Calogero-Sutherland model: the Luttinger systems. With respect to the Fermi surface dynamics, the former approaches should be considered as "bulk" (deep interior of the Fermi sea) formulations, as opposed to the "boundary" description encoded in the latter. Indeed, from the experience drawed from the quantum Hall effect [18], we expect that the "boundary" description will produce the most simple and universal approach to the low-energy dynamics for these systems [13].

Consider first the non-relativistic N-body problem of $(1+1)$-dimensional spinless interacting fermions on a circle of length L, with hamiltonian [2] (in units where $\hbar = 2m = 1$, with m being the mass of the particles)

$$h_{CS} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 + \ g \ \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi(x_i - x_j)/L)} \ . \tag{1}$$

Here x_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ is the coordinate of the i-th particle, and $g \equiv 2\lambda(\lambda - 1)$ the coupling constant. We shall construct the effective field theory of (1) following closely the method developed for the case of quantum Hall effect [12][13], *i.e.*, we reformulate the problem in variables that describe directly the dynamics of the Fermi surface when N is large. In the free case $(\lambda = 1)$, the single-particle wave functions are given by plane waves:

$$\phi_k(x) \ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \ \exp \ \left(i \frac{2\pi}{L} k x \right) \ , \tag{2}$$

where k is an integer (half-integer) for periodic (anti-periodic) boundary conditions. We then define a second-quantized non-relativistic fermion field as

$$\Psi(x,t) \ \equiv \ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \ a_k \ \phi_k(x,t) \ , \qquad \{ \ a_k, a_l^\dagger \ \} \ = \ \delta_{k,l} \ . \tag{3}$$

Here $\phi_k(x,t) = \phi_k(x) \exp(-i\epsilon_k t)$, $\epsilon_k = (2\pi/L)^2 k^2$ and a_k, $a_l^\dagger$ are Fock space operators. The ground state of the system is

$$| \ \Omega \ , N \ \rangle \ = \ a_{-M}^\dagger a_{-M+1}^\dagger \ldots a_{M-1}^\dagger a_M^\dagger | \ 0 \ \rangle \ , \tag{4}$$

where $| \ 0 \ \rangle$ is the Fock vacuum and $M \equiv (N-1)/2$. Note that k is an integer (half-integer) if N is odd (even). The ground state density $\rho(x,t)$ is given by

$$\rho(x,t) \ \equiv \ \langle \ \Omega \ , N \ | \Psi^\dagger(x,t) \Psi(x,t) | \ \Omega \ , N \ \rangle \ = \ \sum_{k=-M}^{M} |\phi_k(x,t)|^2 \ = \ \frac{N}{L} \ . \tag{5}$$

Therefore $\rho(x,t) = \rho_0 = N/L$ is uniform and stationary. We shall consider the thermodynamic limit of large N and L, with ρ_0 finite. The Fermi momentum is $p_F = \pi(N-1)/L$ and the Fermi surface consists of two Fermi points located at $\pm p_F$. Note that in this limit the ground-state (4) becomes a relativistic Dirac sea for each one of them. Next we define "shifted" Fock operators around each Fermi point [12][14][16] $b_r^{(+)} \ \equiv \ a_{M+r}$, $b_r^{(-)} \ \equiv \ a_{-M-r}$, where $|r| \ll N$ describes small fluctuations, *i.e.*, the lowest energy excitations of the system. In the thermodynamic limit, after rescaling $x = qL/2\pi$ and $t = \tau L/4\pi$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(x,t) \ &= \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \ \left[\ \mathrm{e}^{i\gamma(+)} \ F^{(+)}(q - p_F\tau) \ + \ \mathrm{e}^{-i\gamma(-)} \ F^{(-)}(q + p_F\tau) \ \right] \ , \\
F^{(\pm)}(\theta) \ &= \ \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} b_r^{(\pm)} \ \mathrm{e}^{\pm ir\theta} \ , \qquad \gamma(\pm) \ \equiv \ p_F L(q \mp p_F\tau/2)/2\pi \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $F^{(\pm)}(\theta)$ are Weyl fermion fields [15], which describe the relevant degrees of freedom of the system in the vicinity of the Fermi surface [11]. Note that in the thermodynamic limit the original single field (3) decomposes into two independent* fields of opposed chirality (6) (under the hypothesis of small fluctuations around the Fermi points). Each field represents a charged, chiral, relativistic fermion that corresponds to a conformal field theory with central charge $c = 1$ [17]. Note that $F^{(\pm)}(\theta + 2\pi) = \exp(\pm i2\pi\mu)F^{(\pm)}(\theta)$, with $\mu = 0$ ($\mu = 1/2$) for N odd (even). As a consequence of the above decomposition, the Hilbert space of the effective theory becomes the tensor product of two independent Hilbert spaces ($\pm$).

In terms of these fields, we can immediately construct two commuting sets of $W_{1+\infty}$ generators [24][13]. For simplicity, we shall concentrate on one of them only, and omit the affix ($\pm$) in the rest of the discussion

$$\begin{aligned} V^j_n &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta\ F^\dagger(\theta)\ \ddagger\, \mathrm{e}^{-in\theta}\,(i\partial_\theta)^j\, \ddagger\ F(\theta)\ , \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} p(k,n,j;\mu)\ b^\dagger_{k-n}\ b_k\ , \qquad j \geq 0\ , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\ddagger\ \ddagger$ denotes an ordering of the first-quantized operators $\exp(-i\theta)$ and $i\partial_\theta$, such that ${V^j_n}^\dagger = V^j_{-n}$. The coefficients $p(k,n,j;\mu)$ are j-th order polynomials in k whose specific form depends on the choice of ordering [24]. The algebra satisfied by these operators follows from the standard anticommutation rules of the fermionic operators F and $F^\dagger$. It is the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra [19], the quantum version of the algebra of *area-preserving diffeomorphisms*:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\ V^i_n\ ,\ V^j_m\ \right] &= (jn - im)\ V^{i+j-1}_{m+n}\ +\ q(i,j,m,n)\ V^{i+j-3}_{m+n} \\ &\quad +\ \ldots + \delta_{n+m,0}\ c\,(n,i,j)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of (8) is the classical term. It accounts for the *local conservation of particle number*, *i.e.*, the area under the curve of the density as a function of the spatial coordinate (which explains the name assigned to (8)). The second and higher operator terms arise at the quantum level because the V^i_n are polynomials in ∂_θ. Finally, the c-number terms $c(n,i,j)$ represent the relativistic quantum anomaly, which follows from the renormalization of the charges V^i_0. Since we shall measure charges with respect to the ground state (4),we adopt the standard relativistic normal ordering procedure of writing all annihilators to the right of creators.

The simplest cases of eq.(8) are

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\ V^0_n, V^0_m\ \right] &= c\ n\ \delta_{n+m,0}\ , \\ \left[\ V^1_n, V^0_m\ \right] &= -m\ V^0_{n+m}\ , \end{aligned}$$

---

[*] Apart from the overall constraint of total charge (or particle number) conservation.

$$\left[V^1_n, V^1_m \right] = (n-m)\, V^1_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12} \left(n^3 - n \right) \delta_{n+m,0} \ , \qquad (9)$$

with $c = 1$. Eqs.(9) show that V^0_n and V^1_n are the oscillator (abelian Kac-Moody) and conformal (Virasoro) modes, respectively. The index $(i+1)$ is the conformal spin of the V^i_n currents and n is the moding.

The fermionic character of the ground-state (4) when N is large can now be specified by the infinite set of conditions

$$V^i_n \mid \Omega \,\rangle \;=\; 0, \qquad \forall \;\; n > 0 \,, i \geq 0 \ , \qquad (10)$$

where $\mid \Omega \,\rangle \equiv \mid \Omega, N \,\rangle$ and $N \gg 1$. In mathematical terms [15], this equation states that the ground state is a *c=1 highest-weight state* of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra with weights $V^i_0 \mid \Omega \,\rangle \;=\; 0 \ , \ \forall i \geq 0$. Moreover, all neutral excitations generated by polynomials of V^i_n $(n < 0)$ applied to $\mid \Omega \,\rangle$, make up a *unitary irreducible highest-weight representation of* $W_{1+\infty}$ [25][24]. In a fermionic language, these correspond to neutral particle-hole excitations on top of $\mid \Omega \,\rangle$. Conditions (10) are analog to those defining the Laughlin *incompressible quantum fluids* that describe the plateaux in the quantum Hall effect [18][20]. Indeed, this is a consequence of the uniform density (5) that characterizes the ground state $\mid \Omega \,\rangle$. There exist also other types of excitations, corresponding to classical *solitons* [21]. These are the analogs of the Laughlin's quasi-holes and quasi-particles in the quantum Hall effect [20]. They carry a non-vanishing charge Q associated to the *local* $U(1)$ particle number conservation at the Fermi surface [14]. A highest-weight state $\mid Q \,\rangle$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} V^i_n \mid Q \,\rangle &= 0 \ , \qquad \forall \, n > 0 \ , \ i \geq 0 \ , \\ V^i_0 \mid Q \,\rangle &= m_i(Q) \mid Q \,\rangle \ . \end{aligned} \qquad (11)$$

The weight polynomials $m_i(Q)$ are known [25]. For our purposes, it suffices to quote that $m_0(Q) = Q$ and $m_1(Q) = Q^2/2$. The ground state $\mid \Omega \,\rangle$ is a trivial example of a highest-weight state with $Q = 0$. In our algebraic formulation, it can be shown that these charged excitations, together with their towers of neutral excitations, correspond to further irreducible highest-weight representations [24]. All these highest-weight representations closed under the *fusion rules*, *i.e.*, the rules for composition of excitations, define a $W_{1+\infty}$ *conformal field theory.* In physical terms, this is the effective theory of low-energy density fluctuations about a state with uniform density.

For a highest-weight state $\mid Q \,\rangle$, a (bosonic) basis of neutral excitations is given by the V^0_n only [25]. These states are of the form:

$$\mid k, \{ n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s \} \,\rangle = V^0_{-n_1} V^0_{-n_2} \cdots V^0_{-n_s} \mid Q \,\rangle \ , \qquad n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_s > 0 \ , \quad (12)$$

where $k = Q^2/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i$ is the total momentum (in units of $2\pi/L$) and n_i are (positive) integers.

In order to finish with the construction of the effective field theory of (1) and compute the spectrum of its excitations, we repeat the same steps that brought us to (6) with the hamiltonian. Consider the second-quantized free hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ ($\mathcal{H}_{CS} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_I$)

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \;=\; \int_0^L dx\ \Psi^\dagger(x,0)\ h_0\ \Psi(x,0) \;=\; \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 k^2\ a_k^\dagger a_k\ , \tag{13}$$

with $h_0 = -\partial_x^2$. Following the procedure described above, after subtraction of the total ground state energy $E_0 = \pi^2 N(N^2-1)/3L^2$ by normal ordering, we find that $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_0^{(+)} + \mathcal{H}_0^{(-)}$ with

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{(\pm)} \;=\; (2\pi\rho_0)^2 \left[\ \frac{1}{4}\ V_0^0 \ +\ \frac{1}{N}\ V_0^1\ +\ \frac{1}{N^2}\ \left(\ V_0^2\ -\ \frac{1}{12}\ V_0^0\ \right)\ \right]\ , \tag{14}$$

where we have again omitted the $(\pm)$ affix in the V_0^i's (but it should be clear that both sets of operators are identical in terms of each Fock basis). The explicit form of the $W_{1+\infty}$ operators here is [13]:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_n^0 \;&=\; \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty}\ :b_{r-n}^\dagger b_r:\ ,\\
V_n^1 \;&=\; \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(r - \frac{n+1}{2}\right)\ :b_{r-n}^\dagger b_r:\ ,\\
V_n^2 \;&=\; \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(r^2 - (n+1)r + \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{6}\right)\ :b_{r-n}^\dagger b_r:\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The spectrum of the free hamiltonian follows from (14) and the representation theory of $W_{1+\infty}$ [25], and will be discussed later.

We can also treat the interaction hamiltonian in (1) in a similar way. Consider the second-quantized hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_I \;=\; \int_0^L dx\ \int_0^L dy\ \Psi^\dagger(x,0)\Psi^\dagger(y,0)\ \frac{\pi^2}{2L^2}\frac{g}{\sin^2(\pi(x-y)/L)}\ \Psi(y,0)\Psi(x,0)\ . \tag{16}$$

In Fock space we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_I \;&=\; \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{M}(n,m;k)\ a_{m+k}^\dagger a_{n-k}^\dagger a_n a_m\ ,\\
\tilde{M}(n,m;k) \;&\equiv\; \frac{1}{2}\left[\ M(n,m;k)\ -\ M(n,m;n-m-k)\ \right]\ ,\\
M(n,m;k) \;&\equiv\; g\frac{\pi^2}{2L^2}\int_0^L dx\ \int_0^L dy\ \phi_{n-k}^*(x)\ \phi_n(x)\ \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi(x-y)/L)}\ \cdot\\
&\qquad \phi_{m+k}^*(y)\ \phi_m(y)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The formal expression of the integral in (17) is ultra-violet divergent, and needs to be regularized. Explicit computation results in

$$M(n,m;k) \ \equiv \ M(|k|) \ = \ g \ \frac{\pi}{4L^2} \int_{\epsilon}^{2\pi-\epsilon} d\theta \ \frac{\cos(k\theta)}{\sin^2(\theta/2)} \ , \tag{18}$$

where $\epsilon \to 0^+$ is the cut-off. After regularization, the divergence cancels out in (17) due to the antisymmetry of the matrix element. The finite part yields $M(|k|) = -g \ (\pi/L)^2 |k|$. The hamiltonian[†] in the thermodynamic regime becomes $\mathcal{H}_I = \mathcal{H}_I^{(+)} + \mathcal{H}_I^{(-)}$, with

$$\mathcal{H}_I^{(\pm)} \ = \ - \ g \ (2\pi\rho_0)^2 \ \frac{1}{2N^2} \ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ k \ V_{-k}^0 \ V_k^0 \ , \tag{19}$$

where we have omitted again the affix in the operators V_k^0, and the mixed chirality terms in $\mathcal{H}_I$ have been neglected due to the independence between left and right chirality sectors assumed in the Hilbert space of the effective theory. The *effective field theory* of one chiral sector (say (+)) of (1) is finally defined by the hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(+)} = \mathcal{H}_0^{(+)} + \mathcal{H}_I^{(+)}$, with $\mathcal{H}_0^{(+)}$ given by (14) and $\mathcal{H}_I^{(+)}$ by (19).

We are now in a favorable position to discuss the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}^{(+)}$. First note that, to order $1/N$, only the *free* hamiltonian (14) contributes. In the basis (12), the finite-size ("gapless") spectrum $\Delta\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_k - (\pi\rho_0)^2 V_0^0$ of (14) is readily obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{E}_k \quad &= \quad (2\pi\rho_0)^2 \ \frac{k}{N} \ + \ O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) , \\ k \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2} \ Q^2 \ + \ \sum_{i=1}^{s} \ n_i \ , \qquad n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_s > 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

This leading, conformal part of the spectrum has been already discussed in [17]. The subleading terms of (14) are not diagonal in the basis (12), as opposed to the interaction terms (19). However, it is possible, although tedious, to compute the $1/N^2$ corrections to the conformal spectrum (20), using (14),(19) and (15) (see [13] for details).

Having formulated the free theory in terms of the $W_{1+\infty}$ generators allows us to incorporate the non-perturbative effects of the interaction by virtue of Luttinger's theorem [14], which in the $W_{1+\infty}$ language simply amounts to changing the representation of the symmetry algebra. To see this, we first discuss the possible values of Q, which could be any real number according to the representation theory of $W_{1+\infty}$ [25], but it should be fixed by imposing some physical conditions. In the free theory, Q

[†] Note that in rewriting (17) using the operators V_k^0, a subtraction of a term proportional to the particle number operator is needed [13].

counts the number of charges ("soliton number") added to or removed from the neutral ground state, and should be, therefore, an integer. Intuitively, these "solitons" correspond to lumps of minimal size given by the value of the density, appropriately normalized to 1. In a system with a fixed number of particles, as we are considering throughout this paper, soliton-antisoliton pairs can be created by coupling the system to an external source of momentum, which adiabatically adds a "unit of momentum" $\Delta p = 2\pi/L$ to every single-particle state. This is in total analogy with the Laughlin gedanken experiment [20], in which a unit of quantum flux is added to a system of particles in the first Landau level to create a quasi-particle or quasi-hole.

Next we note that the Kac-Moody algebra in (9) allows for a rescaling of the operators V_n^0 by a real parameter ξ, $\tilde{V}_n^0 = \xi^{-1} V_n^0$, without modifying the rest of the V_n^i with $i \geq 1$. This produces a rescaling of the charge $\tilde{Q} = \xi^{-1} Q$. Moreover, the energy of the state $\mid \tilde{Q} \rangle$ with $n_i = 0$ with respect to the (neutral) ground state $\mid Q = 0 \rangle$ is $\Delta\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k = (2\pi\rho_0/\xi)^2 Q^2/2N = \Delta\mathcal{E}_k/\xi^2$, with the last term given by (20). It follows that the soliton described by $\mid \tilde{Q} \rangle$ can be reinterpreted as the soliton corresponding to $\mid Q \rangle$ if the density of the system is rescaled as $\rho = \rho_0/\xi$. In intuitive terms, the normalization of the density is now $1/\xi$ rather than 1 and the lumps have minimal height also given by $1/\xi$. For the class of $(1+1)$-dimensional models in which the ground-state density scales when varying the coupling constant g (at least in some spatial region), *i. e.*, $\rho(g)\xi(g) = \rho(0)\xi(0)$, we can view the above scaling as the effect of the *interaction*, such that $\xi \equiv \xi(g)$ and normalized as $\xi(0) = 1$. Indeed, theories belonging to this class are known as *Luttinger liquids*, and the property that defines them is known as the "Luttinger theorem" [14]. For these systems, the above rescaling can be considered as the dominant effect of the interaction. Moreover, on top of each highest-weight state with $Q = \xi(g)m,\ m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we always have a tower of neutral excitations as in (12). These properties fix completely the finite-size spectrum to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{E}_k &= \left(\frac{2\pi\rho_0}{\xi(g)}\right)^2 \frac{k}{N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) , \\ k &= \frac{1}{2}\left[m\xi(g)\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i \ , \quad n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_s > 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $m, n_1, \ldots, n_s$ are integers. The Calogero-Sutherland model belongs to the Luttinger universality class, with $\xi(g(\lambda)) = 1/\sqrt{\lambda}$ [2]. This spectrum agrees with the results of [17], and we have also indicated the structure of the higher order (non-conformal) corrections to it. The above result applies assuming that the interaction is turned on at constant L, and during the adiabatic process an external source or drain adjusts the number of particles as $N' = N/\sqrt{\lambda}$ to produce the correct value of the density.

Two comments for the specific case of the Calogero-Sutherland model are in order. The first is that one could realize the $W_{1+\infty}$ $c = 1$ conformal field theory considered here by means of a chiral boson (see,*e.g.*, ref. [23][12]). In this language, $\sqrt{\lambda}$ is the compactification radius of the chiral boson that describes the system of interacting fermions [17]. The second comment is that one could also relate our results to those that make use of the Bethe ansatz [2][22]. One can interpret the result (21) as arising from two contributions: there is a "collective" contribution to k, $k_c = m^2/(2\lambda)$ due to solitonic deformations of the density, and a "particle-hole" contribution $k_{ph} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i$. In the first-quantized language of [22], the former corresponds to the "total momentum" of the ground (or collective) state, whereas the latter corresponds to the contribution of neutral excitations generated by Jack polynomials.

A similar analysis can be performed if the fermions are located on the real line, rather than on a circle. In this case, the hamiltonian is [1][2]

$$\hat{h}_{CS} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 + \omega^2 \, x_j^2 \right] + g \sum_{j<k} \frac{1}{(x_j - x_k)^2} \ . \tag{22}$$

Here ω is the strength of the confining harmonic potential, and g the coupling constant. We repeat the construction of the effective theory done for (1). Consider first the free case ($g = 0$). The single-particle wave functions and spectrum are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_n(x) &= \mathcal{N}_n \, H_n(\sqrt{\omega} x) \, \exp\left(-\frac{\omega x^2}{2} \right) \ , \quad \mathcal{N}_n = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{2^{n/2} \sqrt{n!}} \ , &(23) \\ \hat{\epsilon}_n &= 2\omega \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \ , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \ , &(24) \end{aligned}$$

where $H_n(x)$ are the Hermite polynomials. For convenience, we also define $\hat{\varphi}_n(x,t) \equiv \hat{\varphi}_n(x) \exp(-i\hat{\epsilon}_n t)$. Next, we introduce a second quantized field

$$\hat{\Psi}(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \, \hat{\varphi}_n(x,t) \ , \qquad \{ \, a_k, a_l^\dagger \, \} = \delta_{k,l} \ . \tag{25}$$

The ground state is

$$\mid \Omega \ \rangle = a_{N-1}^\dagger a_{N-2}^\dagger \ldots a_1^\dagger a_0^\dagger \mid 0 \ \rangle \ . \tag{26}$$

The ground state density $\rho(x)$ (defined as in (5)) in the thermodynamic limit satisfies the *semicircle law* (see, *e.g.*,[2]):

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\omega}{\pi} \sqrt{x_0^2 - x^2} \ , \qquad x_0^2 = \frac{2N}{\omega} \ , \tag{27}$$

for values $x^2 \leq x_0^2$ and zero otherwise. The semiclassical location of the spatial boundary of the ground state is given by $\pm x_0$, and the (semiclassical) value of the

Fermi momentum is $p_F = \sqrt{2N\omega}$. The thermodynamic limit of N large and ω small, is taken such that p_F and the value of the density at the origin $\rho(0) = \sqrt{2N\omega}\ /\pi$ are finite quantities. We then search for the smallest energy excitations, located in regions in which the density (27) is approximately constant, *i.e.*, around the origin. Define a coordinate $q \equiv x/x_0$ and expand $\rho(x)$ around $x = 0$. We can turn this small q expansion into a consistent $1/N$ expansion by considering values of q such that $q^2 \simeq O(1/N^2)$ only. For excitations close to the Fermi surface, the kinetic term in (22) can be estimated semiclassically to be of the order of $p_F^2 \simeq O(1)$. However, the confining potential term in (22) is of the order of $p_F^2 q^2 \simeq O(1/N^2)$. Therefore, in this spatial region the system behaves like in the compact case of (1), and one could repeat the analysis previously done for that case.

In order to define the theory of small fluctuations about the Fermi surface, consider again the "shifted" Fock operators $b_r = a_{N-1+r}$, with $|r| \ll N$. We consider values of $N \gg 1$ in (25), with $q^2 \simeq O(1/N^2)$ only. The asymptotic form of the wave functions (23) for $n \gg 1$ under this assumption is given by [26]

$$\hat{\varphi}_n(x) \ = \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2\omega}{n}\right)^{1/4} \left[\cos\left(\sqrt{\omega(2n+1)}\ x \ - \ n\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \ + \ O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right] , \qquad (28)$$

where the last term is proportional to q^2. After rescaling x and t as $x = qx_0$ and $t = \tau x_0/2$, and following the steps that brought us to (6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Psi}(x,t) \ &= \ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{2\omega}{N}\right)^{1/4} \left[\mathrm{e}^{i\beta(+)}\hat{F}^{(+)}(q - p_F\tau) \ + \ \mathrm{e}^{-i\beta(-)}\ \hat{F}^{(-)}(q + p_F\tau) \right] , \\ \hat{F}^{(\pm)}(\theta) \ &= \ \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{b}_r\ \mathrm{e}^{\pm i(r-1/2)\theta} , \qquad \beta(\pm) \ \equiv \ 2N(q \mp p_F\tau/2) \mp (N-1)\frac{\pi}{2} , \end{aligned} \qquad (29)$$

where $\tilde{b}_r \equiv \exp(-ir\pi/2)\ b_r$. This result is in agreement with (6) as expected from the previous intuitive discussion. Similarly, the second quantized free hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ ($\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{CS} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_I$) becomes

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \ = \ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\ \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x,0)\ \hat{h}_0\ \hat{\Psi}(x,0) \ = \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2\omega\ \left(n \ + \ \frac{1}{2} \right)\ a_n^\dagger a_n , \qquad (30)$$

with $\hat{h}_0 = -\partial_x^2 + \omega^2 x^2$. After subtraction of the total ground state energy $\hat{E}_0 = \omega N^2$ by normal ordering, we find

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \ = \ 2\omega N \left(V_0^0 \ + \ \frac{1}{N} V_0^1 \right) . \qquad (31)$$

Had we considered a chiral splitting as in the compact case of (1), we would have found $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^{(+)} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^{(-)}$ with $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^{(+)} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^{(-)}$ as in (14). However, the correct normalization of the chiral part of (28) gives for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^{(\pm)}$ exactly the same result of (31). In the thermodynamic limit, both hamiltonians (14) and (31) belong to the same universality class, as expected.

To include the effects of the interaction, consider the second quantized hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_I \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \; \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x,0)\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y,0) \; \frac{g}{(x-y)^2} \; \hat{\Psi}(y,0)\hat{\Psi}(x,0) \; . \qquad (32)$$

In Fock space we have an expression analog to (17), but with two-body matrix elements of the form

$$M(n,m;k) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \; \hat{\varphi}^*_{n-k}(x) \; \hat{\varphi}_n(x) \; \frac{g}{(x-y)^2} \; \hat{\varphi}^*_{m+k}(y) \; \hat{\varphi}_m(y) \; , \qquad (33)$$

and $n \geq 0$, $m \geq 0$ and $-m \;\leq\; k \;\leq\; n$. In practice, the only values of n, m we are interested in are of $O(N)$. The wave functions are given by (28), but we shall be concerned with one chirality (say (+)) only:

$$\hat{\varphi}^{(+)}_{N+r}(x) \;=\; \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \; \left(\frac{2\omega}{N}\right)^{1/4} \exp\left[\, i\sqrt{2\omega N}x + i\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2N}}\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right)x - i(N+r)\frac{\pi}{2} \,\right] \; . \qquad (34)$$

After inserting this effective (correctly normalized) wave function in (33), and consistently restricting the domain of integration to $-L_{eff} \leq x, y \leq L_{eff}$, with $L_{eff} \equiv \pi x_0$, we follow the procedure that brought us to (19). In the thermodynamic limit, the explicit result for the interaction hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_I \;&=\; -\, g \, \frac{8}{\pi^2} \, 2\omega N \, \frac{1}{N^2} \, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) \; V^0_{-k} \; V^0_k \; , \\ f(k) \;&=\; \int_0^{\pi} \, dx \; (\pi - x) \; \frac{(1-\cos(2kx))}{x^2} \;\simeq\; \pi^2 \, k \; , \; \sqrt{N} \gg k \gg 1 \; . \qquad (35) \end{aligned}$$

Once more, this term is of order $1/N^2$ in the thermodynamic regime and, therefore, negligible to first order in $1/N$.

Having formulated the theory in terms of the $W_{1+\infty}$ generators allows us to invoke Luttinger's theorem again. As a consequence of it, and eqs. (31) and (35), the finite-size spectrum and conformal dimensions are given again by (21) with $\xi(g) = 1$ [2], replacing $(2\pi\rho_0)^2$ by $2\omega N$. In deriving this result, we have used the same definitions and normalizations as in the compact case (in particular, the value of $\rho(0)$ remains fixed even in the presence of interaction to account for the change in the total particle number). Therefore, we have explicitly shown that the $W_{1+\infty}$ bosonization scheme gives the same universal results in both the cases of the circle (eq. (1)) and the infinite line (eq. (22)).

Before concluding, we would also like to explain how the $W_{1+\infty}$ approach naturally leads to a *classification* of universality classes of non-relativistic (chiral) fermionic systems by focusing on the dynamics of the Fermi surface. In a general chiral system, the $W_{1+\infty}$ structure will be automatically incorporated in the Fermi sea and a generic (low-energy) effective hamiltonian will have an expansion of the form

$$\mathcal{H} \;=\; \sum_{i} \alpha_i \; V_0^i \;+\; \sum_{i,j,n} \beta_{ij}(n) \; V_{-n}^i \; V_n^j \;, \tag{36}$$

where α_i and $\beta_{ij}(n)$ are the coefficients that contain all the relevant information about the effective theory, the former arising from one-body terms and the latter from two-body interactions in the microscopic theory [13]. A systematic study of the possible universality classes compatible with (36) can be done using the powerful and general mathematical results of the representation theory of $W_{1+\infty}$ [25]. For example, we expect that those $W_{1+\infty}$ conformal field theories with $c \geq 2$ (c is constrained to be a natural number by unitarity [25]) will exhibit a non-trivial Fermi surface structure reminiscent of the hierarchy in the quantum Hall effect [24].

We would like to thank M. Frau and S. Sciuto for many useful discussions and for comments on the manuscript. G. R. Z. would like to acknowledge early discussions on related subjects and the many insights on the representation theory of $W_{1+\infty}$ that A. Cappelli and C. A. Trugenberger generously shared with him.

References

[1] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.* **10** (1969) 2191, *ibid.* **10** (1969) 2197, *ibid.* **12** (1971) 419.

[2] B. Sutherland, *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971) 246, *ibid* **12** (1971) 251, *Phys. Rev.* **A 4** (1971) 2019, *ibid.* **A 5** (1972) 1372.

[3] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 937.

[4] A. P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 703; K. Hikami and M. Wadati, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **61** (1992) 3425, *ibid.* **62** (1993) 3035, *ibid.* **62** (1993) 4203; D. V. Khveschenko, preprints cond-mat/9401012 and cond-mat/9404094; S. Iso, preprint hep-th/9411051; S. Iso and S.J. Rey, preprint hep-th/9406192; E. Bergshoeff and M. Vasiliev, preprint hep-th/9411093; H. Awata, Y. Matsuo, S. Odake and J. Shiraishi, *Phys. Lett.* **B 347** (1995) 49, and preprints hep-th/9503028 and hep-th/9503043; D. Bernard, K. Hikami and M. Wadati, preprint hep-th/9412194.

[5] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988) 635; B. S. Shastry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988) 639.

[6] M. R. Douglas, preprint hep-th/9303159; M. Caselle, A. D'Adda, L. Magnea and S. Panzeri, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 416** (1994) 751; J. A. Minahan and A. P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Lett.* **B 312** (1993) 155; A. Gorskii and N. Nekrasov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 436** (1995) 582; J. A. Minahan and A. P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Lett.* **B 326** (1994) 288.

[7] T. H. Hansson, J. M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 384** (1992) 559; L. Brink, T. H. Hansson, S. Konstein and M. A. Vasiliev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 401** (1993) 591; S. B. Isakov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 9** (1994) 2563.

[8] M. Caselle, preprint DFTT 52/94 cond-mat/9410097.

[9] M. Stone and M. Fisher, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B 8** (1994) 2539; S. Iso, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 9** (1994) 2123; H. Azuma and S. Iso, *Phys. Lett.* **B 331** (1994) 107.

[10] I. Andrić, A. Jevicki and H. Levine, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 215** (1983) 307; I. Andrić and V. Bardek, *J. Phys.* **A 21** (1988) 2847; J. Avan and A. Jevicki, *Phys. Lett.* **B 266** (1991) 35, and *Comm. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992) 149; A. Jevicki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 376** (1992) 75.

[11] See, for example: J. Polchinski, *"Effective field theory and the Fermi surface"*, Lectures presented at TASI-92, Boulder (U.S.A.), June 1992, preprint hep-th/9210046.

[12] A. Cappelli, G. V. Dunne, C. A. Trugenberger and G. R. Zemba, *Nucl. Phys.* **398 B** (1993) 531.

[13] A. Cappelli, C. A. Trugenberger and G. R. Zemba, preprint cond-mat/9407095.

[14] F. D. M. Haldane, *"Luttinger's Theorem and Bosonization of the Fermi surface"*, lectures given at the *International School of Physics Enrico Fermi*, Varenna, Italy (July, 1992), and *J. Phys.* **C 14** (1981) 2585; for the chiral case, X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev.* **B 40** (1989) 7387.

[15] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 241** (1984) 333; for a review see: P. Ginsparg, *Applied Conformal Field Theory*, in *Fields, Strings and Critical Phenomena*, Les Houches School 1988, E. Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam (1990).

[16] For formulations of bosonization that are closer to our ideas, see A. H. Castro Neto and E. Fradkin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) 1393, *Phys. Rev.* **B 49** (1994) 10877; A. Houghton and B. Marston, *Phys. Rev.* **B 48** (1993) 7790.

[17] N. Kawakami and S.-K. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 2493, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **107** (1992) 59.

[18] For a review see: R. A. Prange, S. M. Girvin, *The Quantum Hall Effect*, Springer Verlag, New York (1990).

[19] I. Bakas, *Phys. Lett.* **B 228** (1989) 57; C. N. Pope, X. Shen and L. J. Romans, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 339** (1990); for a review see: X. Shen, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **7 A** (1992) 6953.

[20] R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** (1983) 1395; for a review see: R. B. Laughlin, *Elementary Theory: the Incompressible Quantum Fluid*, in [18].

[21] B. Sutherland and J. Campbell, *Phys. Rev.* **B 50** (1994) 888; A. P. Polychronakos, preprint hep-th/9411054; I. Andrić, V. Bardek and L. Jonke, preprint hep-th/9411136.

[22] Z.N.C. Ha, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 1574; *Nucl. Phys.* **B 435** (1995) 604.

[23] R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** (1987) 1873.

[24] A. Cappelli, C. A. Trugenberger and G. R. Zemba, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) 1902; see also preprint hep-th/9502021.

[25] V. Kac and A. Radul, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **157** (1993) 429; E. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Radul and W. Wang, preprint hep-th/9405121; see also H. Awata, M. Fukuma, S. Odake, Y-H. Quano, *Lett. Math. Phys* **31** (1994) 289; H. Awata, M. Fukuma, Y. Matsuo and S. Odake, *Phys. Lett.* **B 332** (1994) 336, preprints hep-th/9405093, hep-th/9406111 and hep-th/9408158.

[26] H. Bateman, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York (1953).